

## United States, ATENT and TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	APPLICATION NO. FILING DATE		FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/944,976	76 08/31/2001		Richard Kenneth Lane	LANE	2191
75	590	07/02/2002			
Risto A. Rinne	e, Jr.			EXAMINER	
Suite A 2169 East Francisco Blvd.				ALEXANDER, REGINALD	
San Rafael, CA 94901			1	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
				1761	3
				DATE MAILED: 07/02/2002	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		T-D					
· •	Application No.	Applicant(s)					
Office Action Summary	09/944,976	LANE, RICHARD KENNETH					
*•	Examiner	Art Unit					
The MAILING DATE AND	Reginald L. Alexander	1761					
The MAILING DATE of this communicati Period for Reply	on appears on the cover sheet with	h the correspondence address					
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICAT  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communica  - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) day  - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory  - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, b  - Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).  Status	FION.  CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reption.  s, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty y period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTI	oly be timely filed  (30) days will be considered timely.  HS from the mailing date of this communication.					
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed o	on						
2a)  This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b) ∑	This action is non-final.						
3) Since this application is in condition for	allowance except for formal matte	ers, prosecution as to the merits is					
closed in accordance with the practice of Disposition of Claims	under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D.	. 11, 453 O.G. 213.					
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-14</u> is/are pending in the appli	cation.						
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are wi							
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.							
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-3 and 6-14</u> is/are rejected.		1					
7)⊠ Claim(s) <u>4 and 5</u> is/are objected to.		1					
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction Application Papers	and/or election requirement.	t.					
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Exa	aminer.						
10)⊠ The drawing(s) filed on <u>14 February 2002</u> is/are: a)⊠ accepted or b)⊡ objected to by the Examiner.							
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).							
11) The proposed drawing correction filed on is: a) approved b) disapproved by the Examiner.							
If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action.							
12)☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by t	he Examiner.						
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120							
13)☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for fo	oreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 1	119(a)-(d) or (f).					
a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:							
1. Certified copies of the priority docu							
2. Certified copies of the priority docu		· <del></del>					
<ul><li>3. Copies of the certified copies of the application from the Internation</li><li>* See the attached detailed Office action for</li></ul>	al Bureau (PCT Rule 17,2(a)).	_					
14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for do							
a) The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received.							
15) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121.							
Attachment(s)							
Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)     Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-94     Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper N	8) 5) Notice of Info	nmary (PTO-413) Paper No(s) rmal Patent Application (PTO-152)					
S. Patent and Trademark Office TO-326 (Rev. 04-01)	ico Action Summanı						

Application/Control Number: 09/944,976

Art Unit: 1761

4\_

### **DETAILED ACTION**

### Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (e) the invention was described in-
- (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effect under this subsection of a national application published under section 122(b) only if the international application designating the United States was published under Article 21(2)(a) of such treaty in the English language; or
- (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that a patent shall not be deemed filed in the United States for the purposes of this subsection based on the filing of an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a).

Claims 1, 2, 6, 7 and 9-13 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Mangum.

There is disclosed in Mangum a device for draining a can of food, comprising: a longitudinal first member having proximate and distal ends; means 17 for retaining a can of food attached to the proximate end of the first member; a longitudinal second member having proximate and distal ends, the second member pivoting about a pivot axis 4 with respect to the first member at the proximate end; a grate 9 for retaining a foodstuff in the can; openings within the grate for draining a fluid from the foodstuff, the grate being attached to a distal end of a third member 7 which is pivotally attached to the second member.

# Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:



Art Unit: 1761

٠٥.

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claim 3 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Mangum in view of Zammit.

Mangum discloses all of the claimed subject matter except a cylinder for retaining a can of food.

Zammit discloses a device for draining a can of food which uses a cylinder (receptacle) 13 for retaining the can before draining. It would have been obvious to one skilled in the art to substitute the retaining means of Mangum with that taught by Zammit, in order to improve the security of the can within the device during its use.

Claim 8 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Mangum.

While Mangum fails to disclose a plurality of parallel spaced apart ridges on the grate, it does disclose ridges which are perpendicular to each other. In regards to the pattern of the ridges on the grate, it would have been an obvious matter of design choice to use perpendicular ridges, since applicant has not disclosed that parallel ridges solve any stated problem or are for any particular purpose not obtainable by the use of perpendicular ridges, and it appears that the invention would perform equally well with the perpendicular ridges.

## Allowable Subject Matter

Claims 4 and 5 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

Art Unit: 1761

#### Conclusion

The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure. The patent to Engelhardt is cited for its disclosure of a perforated pressing member and cylindrical retaining member.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Reginald L. Alexander whose telephone number is 703-308-1594. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Milton Cano can be reached on 703-308-3959. The fax phone numbers for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are 703-305-7718 for regular communications and 703-305-3599 for After Final communications.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is 703-308-0661.

rla June 26, 2002 Reginald L. Alexander Primary Examiner

Art. Unit 1761